

Final meeting  
Paris, 18 of February 2020

---

If you would like to attend the ENRESSH Meeting in Paris, please register via the following form by 10 February 2020:

<https://forms.gle/6HeVbVVfNbRcsmU2A>

Participation in the meeting is free of charge.

---

**Venue:**

Université Sorbonne Nouvelle  
Institut du Monde anglophone  
5, rue de l'École de Médecine  
75006 PARIS

## **AGENDA**

### **18th of February, 2020**

### **Dissemination Event**

10h00–10h30	Opening of the event by Mrs. Monica DIETL, former director of COST and Mr. Jamil Jean-Marc DAKHLIA, president of the Université Sorbonne-Nouvelle
10h30–10h45	Research evaluation for the benefit of society (Emanuel Kulczycki)
10h45–12h45	<b>ENRESSH toolbox for SSH policy community</b> Chair: Jack Spaapen

*Evaluation as a tool to achieve policies*

- Michael Ochsner: Aligning research evaluation with clear policy goals: risks and opportunities

- Paul Benneworth: How to provide structures and incentives to foster impactful research

#### *Improving research information systems*

- Linda Sile: National bibliographic databases for research evaluation: the knowns and the unknowns
- Elea Gimenez-Toledo and Gunnar Sivertsen: ABP, the register of Academic Book Publishers

#### *Internationality and locality: Opposition or a winning team?*

- Janne Pölonen: The Helsinki Initiative on Multilingualism in Scholarly Communication
- Jon Holm: Peer Review, language, national and international communities

12h45–14h00      *Lunch*

14:00–16:00      **ENRESSH tips for SSH research community**  
Chair: Emanuel Kulczycki

#### *Evaluation and publication strategy*

- Tim Engels & Emanuel Kulczycki: Diversity of publication patterns and its implications for evaluation
- Marc Vanholsbeeck: How to promote open science
- Julia Olmos Peñuela: Managing the tensions of rigour and relevance in output evaluation

#### *Evaluation and career*

- Ginevra Peruginelli: Diversity of evaluation systems
- Marc Vanholsbeeck: Early career investigators and evaluation in SSH: Opportunities and threats

#### *Role as evaluators*

- Michael Ochsner: Identifying research quality
- Raf Guns & Marek Hołowiecki: Ambiguity, labelling and questionable practices in peer review